

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/015,500	DATTA, AMIT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edna Wong	1753

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Edna Wong. (3) _____.

(2) Leo Zucker. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2004

Time: 10:25 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-7,21 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the method claims were allowable except for the new matter in claim 36. However, the issue of new matter was cleared up in view of Tables 8-10 in Applicant's specification. Also, authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted to cancel the non-elected claims 1-7 and 21. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.